

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

**JESSE STOLDORF**  
**No. 14282-026,**  
**Petitioner,**

**vs.**

**No. 3:17-cv-00945-DRH**

**T.G. WERLICH,**  
**Respondent.**

**MEMORANDUM AND ORDER**

**HERNDON, District Judge:**

*Pro se* Petitioner Jesse Stoldorf, currently incarcerated in the Federal Correctional Institution at Greenville, Illinois, brings this habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Relying on the recent case of *Mathis v. United States*, — U.S. —, 136 S. Ct. 2243 (2016), he argues that his sentence should not have been enhanced as an armed career criminal pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 924(e). This case is now before the Court for a preliminary review of the Petition pursuant to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases in United States District Courts. Without commenting on the merits of Petitioner's claims, the Court concludes that the Petition survives preliminary review under Rule 4 and Rule 1(b). Given the limited record and the still-developing application of *Mathis*, it is not plainly apparent that Petitioner is not entitled to habeas relief.

**Disposition**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Respondent Werlich shall answer or

otherwise plead within thirty days of the date this order is entered (on or before November 2, 2017).<sup>1</sup> This preliminary order to respond does not, of course, preclude the Government from raising any objection or defense it may wish to present. Service upon the United States Attorney for the Southern District of Illinois, 750 Missouri Avenue, East St. Louis, Illinois, shall constitute sufficient service.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that pursuant to Local Rule 72.1(a)(2), this cause is referred to United States Magistrate Judge Clifford J. Proud for further pre-trial proceedings. **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this entire matter be **REFERRED** to United States Magistrate Judge Proud for disposition, as contemplated by Local Rule 72.2(b)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), *should all the parties consent to such a referral*. Petitioner is **ADVISED** of his continuing obligation to keep the Clerk (and each opposing party) informed of any change in his whereabouts during the pendency of this action. This notification shall be done in writing and not later than seven (7) days after a transfer or other change in address occurs. Failure to provide such notice may result in dismissal of this action. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

*David R. Herndon*



Digitally signed by  
Judge David R.  
Herndon  
Date: 2017.10.03  
10:07:30 -05'00'

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**

---

<sup>1</sup> The response date ordered herein is controlling. Any date that CM/ECF should generate in the course of this litigation is a guideline only. *See* SDIL-EFR 3.